arXiv:math/0409227v1 [math.DG] 14 Sep 2004

Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebras on $\mathbb{RP}^1$ acting on bilinear differential operators

Sofiane Bouarroudj
Department of Mathematics, U.A.E. University, Faculty of Science
P.O. Box 15551, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates.
e-mail:bouarroudj.sofiane@uaeu.ac.ae

Abstract

The main topic of this paper is two folds. First, we compute the first relative cohomology group of the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on the projective line, $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$, with coefficients in the space of bilinear differential operators that act on tensor densities, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$, vanishing on the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Second, we compute the first cohomology group of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be two $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. It is well-known that nontrivial extensions of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules:

$$0 \to \mathcal{M} \to \cdot \to \mathcal{N} \to 0$$

are classified by the first cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathfrak{g}; \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}))$ (see, e.g., [8]). Any 1-cocycle $\mathcal{L}$ generates a new action on $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ as follows: for all $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ and for all $(a, b) \in \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$, we define $g^*(a, b) := (g^*a + C^{st}\mathcal{L}(b), g^*b)$. For the space of tensor densities of weight λ, $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, viewed as a module over the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$, the classification of nontrivial extensions

$$0 \to \mathcal{F}_\mu \to \cdot \to \mathcal{F}_\lambda \to 0,$$

leads Feigin and Fuks in [7] to compute the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1); \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu))$. Later, Ovsienko and the author in [3] have computed the corresponding relative cohomology group with respect to $\mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, namely

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1), \mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}); \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu)). \tag{1.1}$$

In fact, the study of the cohomology group (1.1) has arisen from the study of the equivariant quantization procedure introduced in [5, 12]. It has been proved that there exists an $\mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$-equivariant quantization map from the space of symbols and to the space of differential operators, but it is not $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$-equivariant. The obstruction here is given by the 1-cocycles that span the cohomology group (1.1) (see [3, 9]). The computation is based on an old result of Gordan [10] on the classification of $\mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant bilinear differential operators that act on tensor densities. Moreover, the case of a higher-dimensional manifold has been studied in [1, 11], and the case of a Riemann surface has been studied in [2]. In this paper, we will compute the first cohomology group

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1), \mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}); \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu, \mathcal{F}_\mu)).$$

It turns out that the dimension of the cohomology group above can reach three, for some particular values of λ and ν, which is a contradistinction with that of the cohomology group (1.1) in which the dimension is almost one.

Moreover, we compute the cohomology group

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}); \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{diff}}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu, \mathcal{F}_\mu)).$$

For linear differential operators, the analogue of the cohomology group above has been studied by Lecomte in [13].

2 $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{R})$-module structures on the space of bilinear differential operators

Consider the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{RP}^1$ by projective transformations. It is given in homogenous coordinates by

$$x \mapsto \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}, \qquad \text{where } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}).$$

This action generates global vector fields

$$\frac{d}{dx}, \quad x\frac{d}{dx}, \quad x^2\frac{d}{dx},$$

that form a Lie subalgebra of $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$, isomorphic to $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [14]). Throughout this paper, $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ will be refered to this subalgebra.

2.1 The space of tensor densities on $\mathbb{RP}^1$

The space of tensor densities of weight λ on $\mathbb{RP}^1$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$, is the space of sections of the line bundle $(T^*\mathbb{RP}^1)^{\otimes\lambda}$. This space coincides with the space of functions and differential forms for $\lambda = 0$ and for $\lambda = 1$, respectively. The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ by the Lie derivative. For all $X \in \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ and for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$:

$$L_X^\lambda(\phi) = X\phi' + \lambda\phi X', \tag{2.1}$$

where the superscript $'$ stands for d/dx.

2.2 The space of bilinear differential operators as a $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$-module

We are interested in defining a three-parameter family of $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$-modules on the space of bilinear differential operators. The counterpart $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$-modules of the space of linear differential operators is a classical object (see e.g. [15]).

Consider bilinear differential operators that act on tensor densities:

$$A : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \to \mathcal{F}_\mu. \tag{2.2}$$

The Lie algebra $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ acts on the space of bilinear differential operators as follows. For all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$:

$$L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu}(A)(\phi,\psi) = L_X^\mu \circ A(\phi,\psi) - A(L_X^\lambda\,\phi,\psi) - A(\phi, L_X^\nu\,\psi). \tag{2.3}$$

where L_X^λ is the action (2.1). We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu;\nu}$ the space of bilinear differential operators (2.2) endowed with the defined $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$-module structure (2.3).

3 Cohomology of $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$

In this section, we will compute the "differentiable" cohomology of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in the space of bilinear differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$. Namely, we consider only cochains that are given by differentiable maps.

Theorem 3.1 *(i) If $\mu = \lambda + \nu$ then*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}) = \mathbb{R}.$$

(ii) If $\mu - \lambda - \nu = k$, where k is a positive integer, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^3, & \text{if } (\lambda,\nu) = (-\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{t}{2}), \text{where } 0 \le s,t \le k-1, t > k-s-2 \\ \mathbb{R}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $\mu - \lambda - \nu$ is not a positive integer, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}); \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}) = 0.$$

To proof the theorem above, we are required to proof the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 *Let Y be a vector fields in $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ and let $c : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \to \mathcal{F}_\mu$ be a bilinear differential operator defined as follows. For all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$:*

$$c(\phi,\psi) = \sum_{i+j=k} \alpha_{i,j}\, Y'\, \phi^{(i)}\, \psi^{(j)} + \sum_{i+j=k-1} \beta_{i,j}\, Y''\, \phi^{(i)}\, \psi^{(j)},$$

where $\alpha_{i,j}$ and $\beta_{i,j}$ are constants. Then, for all $X \in \mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu} c(\phi,\psi) \;&=\; -\frac{1}{2}\, Y'X'' \sum_{i+j=k-1} \left((i+1)\,(i+2\lambda)\,\alpha_{i+1,j} + (j+1)\,(j+2\nu)\,\alpha_{i,j+1}\right)\, \phi^{(i)}\psi^{(j)} \\ &\quad + X'Y'' \sum_{i+j=k-1} (\mu - \lambda - \nu - i - j)\,\beta_{i,j}\, \phi^{(i)}\,\psi^{(j)} + XY'' \sum_{i+j=k} \alpha_{i,j}\, \phi^{(i)}\psi^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward computation using the definition (2.3).

Lemma 3.3 *Let $c : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \to \mathcal{F}_\mu$ be a bilinear differential operator defined as follows. For all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$:*

$$c(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{i+j=k} c_{i,j}\, \phi^{(i)}\, \psi^{(j)},$$

where $c_{i,j}$ are constants. Then, for all $X \in \mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu} c(\phi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=k-1} ((i+1)(2i+\lambda)\, c_{i+1,j} + (j+1)(2j+\nu)\, c_{i,j+1})\, X''\phi^{(i)}\, \psi^{(j)}$$

Proof. Straightforward computation using the definition (2.3).

Now we are in position to prove Theorem (3.1). Any 1-cocycle on $\mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ should retains the following general form:

$$c(X, \phi, \psi) = \sum_{j+j=k} \alpha_{i,j}\, X'\phi^{(i)}\psi^{(j)} + \sum_{j+j=k-1} \beta_{i,j}\, X''\phi^{(i)}\psi^{(j)}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha_{i,j}$ and $\beta_{i,j}$ are constants. The higher degree terms on X are absent from the formula above, as they vanish on the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

The 1-cocycle condition reads as follows: for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$ and for all $X \in \mathrm{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$c([X, Y], \phi, \psi) - L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu}\, c(Y, \phi, \psi) + L_Y^{\lambda,\nu;\mu}\, c(X, \phi, \psi) = 0.$$

A direct computation, and by using Lemma 3.2, proves that the coefficient of the component $\phi^{(m)}\, \psi^{(n)}$ in the 1-cocycle condition above is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\,(Y'X'' - X'Y'')\,((m+1)\,(m+2\lambda)\,\alpha_{m+1,n} + (n+1)\,(n+2\nu)\,\alpha_{m,n+1})\,. \tag{3.2}$$

The formula (3.2) turns into zero once restricted to the affine Lie algebra $\mathrm{Span}\{\frac{d}{dx}, x\frac{d}{dx}\}$. We are required, therefore, to study the annihilation of the formula (3.2) for the two vector fields $X = x\frac{d}{dx}$ and $Y = x^2\frac{d}{dx}$. For these vector fields, the 1-cocycle property will be equivalent to the system

$$(m+1)\,(m+2\lambda)\,\alpha_{m+1,n} + (n+1)\,(n+2\nu)\,\alpha_{m,n+1} = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where $m + n = k - 1$.

Now we are going to deal with trivial 1-cocycles, and show how the general 1-cocycles (3.1) can be eventually trivial. Any trivial 1-cocycle should be of the form

$$L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu} c,$$

where c is an operator $c : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \to \mathcal{F}_\mu$ defined as $c(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{i+j=k} c_{i,j}\phi^{(i)}\psi^{(j)}$. By using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$L_x^{\lambda,\nu;\mu} c = \frac{1}{2} X'' \sum_{m+n=k-1} (-(m+1)\,(m+2\lambda)\,c_{m+1,n} - (n+1)\,(n+2\nu)\,c_{m,n+1})\,\phi^{(m)}\,\psi^{(n)}. \tag{3.4}$$

We emphasize on the fact that the component X' is absent from the formula above. To complete the proof we distinguish many cases:

(i) If $\lambda \neq -\frac{s}{2}$ and $\nu \neq -\frac{t}{2}$, where $s, t \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, then the space of solutions of the system (3.3) is one-dimensional; it is generated by $\alpha_{0,k}$. Now, we will explain how the constant $\beta_{i,j}$ can be eliminated from our initial cocycle (3.1). We add the coboundary $L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu}c$ of equation (3.4) to our 1-cocycle (3.1). The constants $c_{i,j}$ are chosen such that

$$\beta_{m,n} = -2((m+1)\,(m+2\lambda)\,c_{m+1,n} + (n+1)\,(n+2\nu))\,c_{m,n+1}.$$

This requirement is always possible, as $\lambda \neq -\frac{s}{2}$ and $\nu \neq -\frac{t}{2}$. Therefore, our 1-cocycle (3.1) should only contain components in X'. Now, by using (3.3) we can see that the cohomology group in question is one-dimensional, generated by the following 1-cocycle.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}(X,\phi,\psi) &= X'\phi\,\psi^{(k)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{u+v=k-1} (-1)^{k-v}\binom{k}{v}\frac{(v+2\nu)(v+1+2\nu)\cdots(k-1+2\nu)}{(u+2\lambda)(u-1+2\lambda)\cdots(2\lambda)}\,X'\phi^{(u+1)}\psi^{(v)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

(ii) If $\nu = -\frac{t}{2}$ and $\lambda \neq -\frac{s}{2}$, then the constants $\alpha_{k-t,t}, \alpha_{k-t+1,t-1}, \dots, \alpha_{k,0}$ are zero and the space of solutions of the system (3.3) is one-dimensional, generated by $\alpha_{0,k}$. The constant $\beta_{i,j}$ can be eliminated by the same method as in Part (i). We have just proved that the cohomology group in question is one-dimensional, generated by the 1-cocycle:

$$\mathfrak{b}(X,\phi,\psi) = X'\phi\,\psi^{k} + \sum_{u+1+v=k} \alpha_{u+1,v}\,X'\phi^{(u+1)}\psi^{(v)}, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\alpha_{u+1,v} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad v \le t \\ (-1)^{k-v}\binom{k}{v}\frac{(v+2\nu)(v+1+2\nu)\cdots(k-1+2\nu)}{(u+2\lambda)(u-1+2\lambda)\cdots(2\lambda)}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $\lambda = -\frac{s}{2}$ and $\nu \neq -\frac{t}{2}$, then - and as in Part (ii) - the cohomology group in question is one-dimensional, generated by the 1-cocycle:

$$\mathfrak{c}(X,\phi,\psi) = X'\phi^{k}\,\psi + \sum_{u+1+v=k} \alpha_{u,v+1}\,X'\phi^{(u)}\psi^{(v+1)}, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\alpha_{u,v+1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if} \quad u \le s \\ (-1)^{k-u}\binom{k}{u}\frac{(u+2\lambda)(u+1+2\lambda)\cdots(k-1+2\lambda)}{(v+2\nu)(v-1+2\nu)\cdots(2\nu)}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(iv) If $\lambda = -\frac{s}{2}$ and $\nu = -\frac{k-s-1}{2}$, where $s \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, then the space of solutions of the system (3.3) is two dimensional; it is generated by $\alpha_{s+1,k-s-1}$ and $\alpha_{s,k-s}$. Now, we will explain how the constant $\beta_{i,j}$ (but not $\beta_{s,k-s-1}$) can be eliminated. We add the coboundary $L_X^{\lambda,\nu}c$ of (3.4) to our 1-cocycle (3.1). The constant $c_{i,j}$ are chosen such that

$$\beta_{m,n} = -2((m+1)\,(m+2\lambda)\,c_{m+1,n} + (n+1)\,(n+2\nu))\,c_{m,n+1}.$$

This requirement is always satisfied, except for $\beta_{s,k-s-1}$ because the component $\phi^{s}\,\psi^{k-s-1}$ of our trivial 1-cocycle (3.4) has a trivial coefficient. Finally, we have just proved that the cohomology group in question is three-dimensional, generated by the 1-cocycles:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{d}(X,\phi,\psi) &= \beta_{s,k-s-1}\,X''\phi^{s}\,\psi^{k-s-1}+\alpha_{0,k}\,X'\phi\,\psi^{k}+\alpha_{k,0}\,X'\phi^{k}\,\psi\\ &\quad+\sum_{\substack{u+v=k\\ u,v\neq 0}}\alpha_{u,v}\,X'\phi^{(u)}\psi^{(v)},\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\alpha_{u,v}=\begin{cases}(-1)^{k-v}\binom{k}{v}\dfrac{(v+2\nu)(v+1+2\nu)\cdots(k-1+2\nu)}{(u-1+2\lambda)(u-2+2\lambda)\cdots(2\lambda)}\alpha_{0,k}, & \text{if}\quad u\leq s\\ (-1)^{k-u}\binom{k}{u}\dfrac{(u+2\lambda)(u+1+2\lambda)\cdots(k-1+2\lambda)}{(v-1+2\nu)(v-2+2\nu)\cdots(2\nu)}\alpha_{k,0}, & \text{if}\quad u\geq s+1\end{cases}$$

(v) If $\lambda=-\frac{s}{2}$ and $\nu=-\frac{t}{2}$, where $s,t\in\{0,\dots,k-1\}$ but $t\leq k-s-2$, then the space of solutions of the system (3.3) is one-dimensional, generated by $\alpha_{s+1,k-s-1}$. The constant $\beta_{i,j}$ can be eliminated as explained before. Thus, the cohomology group in question is one-dimensional, generated by the 1-cocycle:

$$\mathfrak{e}(X,\phi,\psi)=X'\,\phi^{(s+1)}\,\psi^{(k-s-1)}+\sum_{\substack{u+v=k\\ u\neq s+1}}\alpha_{u,v}\,X'\phi^{(u)}\psi^{(v)},\tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\alpha_{u,v}=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if}\quad u\leq s\\ 0, & \text{if}\quad v\leq t\\ (-1)^{k-s-1-v}\,\frac{(v+1)(v+2)\cdots n}{u(u-1)\cdots s}\,\frac{(v+2\nu)(v+1+2\nu)\cdots(k-s-2+2\nu)}{(u-1+2\lambda)(u-2+2\lambda)\cdots(s+1+2\lambda)}, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(vi) If $\lambda=-\frac{s}{2}$ and $\nu=-\frac{t}{2}$, where $s,t\in\{0,\dots,k-1\}$ but $t>k-s-2$, then the space of solutions of the system (3.3) is two-dimensional, generated by $\alpha_{s+1,k-s-1}$ and $\alpha_{k-t-1,t+1}$. The constant $\beta_{i,j}$ can be eliminated as explained before, except for $\beta_{k-t-1,t}$. Thus, the cohomology group in question is three-dimensional, generated by the 1-cocycle:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{f}(X,\phi,\psi) &= \beta_{k-t-1,t}\,X''\phi^{k-t-1}\,\psi^{t}+\alpha_{0,k}\,X'\phi\,\psi^{k}+\alpha_{k,0}\,X'\phi^{k}\,\psi\\ &\quad+\sum_{\substack{u+v=k\\ u,v\neq 0}}\alpha_{u,v}\,X'\phi^{(u)}\psi^{(v)},\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\alpha_{u,v}=\begin{cases}(-1)^{k-v}\binom{k}{v}\dfrac{(v+2\nu)(v+1+2\nu)\cdots(k-1+2\nu)}{(u-1+2\lambda)(u-2+2\lambda)\cdots(2\lambda)}\alpha_{0,k}, & \text{if}\quad v\geq t+1\\ (-1)^{k-u}\binom{k}{u}\dfrac{(u+2\lambda)(u+1+2\lambda)\cdots(k-1+2\lambda)}{(v-1+2\nu)(v-2+2\nu)\cdots(2\nu)}\alpha_{k,0}, & \text{if}\quad u\geq s+1\end{cases}$$

Remark 3.4 The first cohomology group of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in the space of linear differential operators has been computed in [13]. The explicit 1-cocycles that span this cohomology group has first arisen in [9].

4 $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$-invariant differential operators

In this section we will investigate differential operators on tensor densities that are $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$-invariant. These results will be useful for the computation of cohomology.

Proposition 4.1 *[10] There exist unique (up to constants)* $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$*-invariant bilinear differential operators* $J_k^{\lambda,\mu} : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \to \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\nu+k}$ *given by*

$$J_k^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi,\psi) = \sum_{i+j=k} c_{i,j}\, \phi^{(i)}\, \psi^{(j)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where the constants $c_{i,j}$ *are characterized as follows:*

(i) If $\lambda, \nu \notin \{0, -1/2, \ldots, -s/2, \ldots\}$, *the coefficients* $c_{i,j}$ *are given by*

$$c_{i,j} = (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} \frac{(2\lambda - i)(2\lambda - i - 1)\ldots(2\lambda - k + 1)}{(2\nu - j)(2\nu - j + 1)\ldots(2\nu - k + 1)}.$$

(ii) If λ *or* $\nu \in \{0, -1, -1/2, \ldots, -s/2, \ldots\}$, *the coefficients* $c_{i,j}$ *satisfy the recurrence relation*

$$(i+1)(i+2\lambda)\, c_{i+1,j} + (j+1)(j+2\nu)\, c_{j,i+1} = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, the space of solutions of the system (4.2) is two-dimensional if $\lambda = -\frac{s}{2}$ *and* $\nu = -\frac{t}{2}$ *but* $t > k - s - 2$, *and one-dimensional otherwise.*

Proposition 4.2 *There exist* $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$*-invariant trilinear differential operators* $K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau} : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \otimes \mathcal{F}_\tau \to \mathcal{F}_{\lambda+\nu+\tau+k}$ *given by*

$$K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}(\phi,\varphi,\psi) = \sum_{i+j+l=k} c_{i,j,l}\, \phi^{(i)}\, \varphi^{(j)}\, \psi^{(l)}, \tag{4.3}$$

where the constants $c_{i,j,l}$ *are characterized by the recurrence formula*

$$i(i-1+2\lambda)\, c_{i,j,l} + (j+1)(j+2\nu)\, c_{i-1,j+1,l} + (l+1)(l+2\tau)\, c_{i-1,j,l+1} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

where $i + j + l = k$.

If λ, ν *and* τ *are generic, then the space of solutions is* $(k+1)$*-dimensional.*

Proposition 4.3 *There exist* $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$*-invariant trilinear differential operators* $K_k^{\nu,\tau} : \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1) \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \otimes \mathcal{F}_\tau \to \mathcal{F}_{\nu+\tau+k-1}$ *that vanishe on* $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *given by*

$$K_k^{\nu,\tau}(X,\varphi,\psi) = \sum_{i+j+l=k} c_{i,j,l}\, X^{(i)}\, \varphi^{(j)}\, \psi^{(l)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where the constants $c_{i,j,l}$ *are as in (4.4) but* $c_{0,j,k-j} = c_{1,j,k-j-1} = c_{2,j,k-j-2} = 0$. *Moreover, the space of solutions is* $(k-2)$*-dimensional, for all* ν *and* τ.

Proof of Proposition (4.2) and (4.3). We are going to prove Proposition (4.2) and (4.3) simultaneously. Any differential operator $K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau} : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_\nu \otimes \mathcal{F}_\tau \to \mathcal{F}_\mu$ is of the form

$$K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}(\phi,\varphi,\psi) = \sum_{i+j+l=k} c_{i,j,l}\, \phi^{(i)}\, \varphi^{(j)}\, \psi^{(l)},$$

where $c_{i,j,l}$ are functions.

The $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$-invariant property of the operators $K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}$ reads as follows.

$$L_X^{\nu}K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}(\phi,\varphi,\psi)=K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}(L_X^{\lambda}\phi,\varphi,\psi)+K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}(\phi,L_X^{\nu}\varphi,\psi)+K_k^{\lambda,\nu,\tau}(\phi,\varphi,L_X^{\tau}\psi).$$

The invariant property with respect to the affine Lie algebra $\mathrm{Span}\{\frac{d}{dx},x\frac{d}{dx}\}$ implies that $c'_{i,j,l}=0$ and $\mu=\lambda+\nu+\tau+k$. On the other hand, the invariant property with respect to the vector fields $x^2\frac{d}{dx}$ is equivalent to the system (4.4). If λ, ν and τ are generic, then the space of solutions is $(k+2)$-dimensional, generated by $c_{k-1,1,0},c_{k-1,0,1},c_{k-2,2,0},c_{k-3,3,0},\dots,c_{0,k,0}$.

Now, the proof of Proposition (4.3) follows as above by putting $\lambda=-1$. In this case, the space of solutions is $(k-2)$-dimensional, spanned by $c_{3,k-3,0},c_{3,k-4,1},c_{3,k-5,2},\dots,c_{3,0,k-3}$.

5 Cohomology of $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ acting on $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$

In this section, we will compute the first cohomology group of $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ with values in $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$, vanishing on $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 5.1 *(i) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu=2$, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}, & \textit{if}\quad \lambda+\nu+1=0\\ \mathbb{R}, & \textit{if}\quad \lambda=0 \textit{ together with } \nu\neq-\frac{1}{2}, \textit{ and vice versa}\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(ii) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu=3$, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}^2, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)=\ (0,0),(-2,0),(0,-2),(-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{2}{3})\\ \mathbb{R}, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)\neq\ (-\frac{1}{2},-1),(-1,-\frac{1}{2}),(-1,-1),\\ & \qquad\qquad\quad (0,-1),(-1,0),(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(iii) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu=4$, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}^2, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)\neq(0,-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},0),(-1,-1)\\ & (-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},-1),(-1,-\frac{3}{2}),\\ & (-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{1}{2},-1),(-1,-\frac{1}{2})\\ \mathbb{R}, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(iv) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu=5$, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}^3, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)=(0,0),(-4,0),(0,-4)\\ \mathbb{R}^2, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)\neq(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2}),(-\frac{1}{2},-2),\\ & (-2,-\frac{1}{2}),(-1,-2)(-2,-1),(-1,-\frac{3}{2}),\\ & (-\frac{3}{2},-1),(-1,-1)(-\frac{3}{2},-2),(-2,-\frac{3}{2}),\\ & (-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2})\\ \mathbb{R}, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(v) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu=6$, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}^3, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)=(\frac{-5\pm\sqrt{19}}{2},0),(0,\frac{-5\pm\sqrt{19}}{2}),\\ & (\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2},\frac{-\sqrt{19}-5}{2}),(\frac{-\sqrt{19}-5}{2},\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2})\\ \mathbb{R}^2, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)\neq(-2,-\frac{1}{2}),(-\frac{1}{2},-2),(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{1}{2}),\\ & (-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{5}{2}),(-1,-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},-1),(-1,-2),\\ & (-2,-1),(-1,-\frac{5}{2}),(-\frac{5}{2},-1),(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}),\\ & (-\frac{3}{2},-2),(-2,-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2}),(-\frac{5}{2},\frac{3}{2}),\\ & (-2,-2),(-2,-\frac{5}{2}),(-\frac{5}{2},-2),\\ \mathbb{R}, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(vi) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu=7$, then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}^2, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)=(\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2},\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}),(\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2},\frac{\sqrt{19}-5}{2}),\\ & (0,\nu),(\lambda,0),(\lambda,-6-\lambda),(\sqrt{19}-1,\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}),\\ & (\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2},\sqrt{19}-1),(-\sqrt{19}-1,\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}),\\ & (\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2},-\sqrt{19}-1)\\ \mathbb{R}, & \textit{if}\quad (\lambda,\nu)\neq(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{1}{2}),(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{5}{2}),(-\frac{1}{2},-3),\\ & (-3,-\frac{1}{2}),(-1,-2),(-2,-1),(-1,-3),\\ & (-3,-1),(-\frac{3}{2},-2),(-2,-\frac{3}{2}),(-2,-1),\\ & (-1,-2),(-2,-\frac{3}{2}),(-\frac{3}{2},-2),(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2}),\\ & (-2,-3),(-3,-2),(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{3}{2}),(-2,-\frac{5}{2}),\\ & (-\frac{5}{2},-2),(-3,-\frac{5}{2}),(-\frac{5}{2},-3)\\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

(vii) If $\mu-\lambda-\nu$ is not like above but λ and ν are generic then

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})=0.$$

6 Proof of Theorem (5.1)

To proof Theorem (5.1) we proceed bye following the three steps:

1. We will investigate the dimension of the space of operators that satisfy the 1-cocycle condition. By Proposition (4.3), its dimension is at most $k-2$, where $k=\mu-\lambda-\nu$, since any 1-cocycle that vanishes on $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is certainly $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$-invariant (cf. [3, 11]).
2. We will study all trivial 1-cocycles, namely, operators of the form

$$L_X B,$$

where B is a bilinear operator. As our 1-cocycles vanish on the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, it follows that the operator B coincides with the transvectant $J_k^{\lambda,\nu}$. By using Proposition (4.1), we will determine different values of λ and ν for which the space of operators of the form $L_X J_k^{\lambda,\nu}$ is zero, one or two-dimensional.

3. By taking into account Part 1 and part 2 - and depending on λ and ν - the dimension of the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})$ will be equal to

$$\dim(\text{operators that are 1-cocycles}) - \dim(\text{operators of the form } L_X J_k^{\lambda,\nu})$$

We need also the following Lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *Every 1-cocycle on* $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ *with values in* $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu}$ *is differentiable.*

Proof. See [11].

Now we are in position to prove Theorem (5.1). By Lemma (6.1), any 1-cocycle on $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$ should retains the following general form:

$$c(X,\phi,\psi) = \sum_{j+j+l=k} \alpha_{l,i,j}\, X^{(l)}\phi^{(i)}\psi^{(j)}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\alpha_{l,i,j}$ are constants. The fact that this 1-cocycle vanishes on $\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ implies that

$$c_{0,i,j} = c_{1,i,j} = c_{2,i,j} = 0.$$

The 1-cocycle condition reads as follows: for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$ and for all $X \in \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1)$, one has

$$c([X,Y],\phi,\psi) - L_X^{\lambda,\nu;\mu} c(Y,\phi,\psi) + L_Y^{\lambda,\nu;\mu} c(X,\phi,\psi) = 0.$$

6.1 The case when $k = 2$

If $\mu-\lambda-\nu = 2$, equation (6.1) shows that only one 1-cocycle spans the cohomology group of Theorem (5.1); it is given by

$$\mathfrak{L}(X,\phi,\psi) := X'''\,\phi\,\psi. \tag{6.2}$$

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A direct computation proves that

$$L_X J_2^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi,\psi) = (-\lambda c_{2,0} - \nu c_{0,2}) X'''\,\phi\,\psi,$$

1. If $\lambda = 0$ and $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{2}$, then by Part (ii) of Proposition (4.1), $c_{0,2} = 0$ and the 1-cocycle is not trivial. The result holds when $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ as well.

2. If $\lambda = 0$ and $\nu = -\frac{1}{2}$, then $c_{0,2} = -\frac{1}{\nu}$ and therefore $L_X J_2^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}(\phi,\psi) = \mathfrak{L}(X,\phi,\psi)$. Hence, the 1-cocycle is trivial. The result holds true when $\nu = 0$ and $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2}$.

3. If λ and ν are not like above, then Part (i) of Proposition (4.1) implies that

$$-\lambda\, c_{2,0} - \nu\, c_{0,2} = -2\nu \frac{\lambda+\nu+1}{1+2\lambda} c_{1,1},$$

where $c_{1,1} \neq 0$. Thus, for $\lambda+\nu+1 = 0$ the 1-cocycle (6.3) is not trivial; otherwise, the 1-cocycle is trivial.

6.2 The case when $k = 3$

If $\mu - \lambda - \nu = 3$, equation (6.1) shows that the 1-cocycles that span the cohomology group of Theorem (5.1) are of the form

$$\mathfrak{M}(X, \phi, \psi) := \gamma\, X^{(4)}\, \phi\, \psi + (\alpha_1\, \phi'\, \psi + \alpha_2\, \phi\, \psi')\, X''', \tag{6.3}$$

where γ, α_1 and α_2 are constants. The 1-cocycle condition implies that

$$\gamma = -\frac{\lambda\, \alpha_1 + \nu\, \alpha_2}{2}.$$

Let us study the triviality of this 1-cocycle. A direct computation proves that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X J_3^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi, \psi) \;\; = \;\; & (-\lambda\, c_{3,0} - \nu\, c_{0,3}) X^{(4)}\, \phi\, \psi - ((1 + 3\lambda)\, c_{3,0} + \nu\, c_{1,2}) X''' \phi'\, \psi \\ & -((1 + 3\nu)\, c_{0,3} + \lambda\, c_{2,1}) X''' \phi\, \psi' \end{aligned}$$

1. Here we will characterize all values of λ and ν for which $L_X J_3^{\lambda,\nu} = 0$. An easy computation using Proposition (4.1) proves that these values are $(0, 0), (-2, 0), (0, -2)$, and $(-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$. If $(\lambda, \nu) = (0, 0)$, then $c_{0,3} = c_{3,0} = 0$ and $c_{1,2} = -c_{2,1}$. It follows that the cohomology group is two-dimensional, spanned by two 1-cocycles given as in (6.3) for $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$. The results holds true for $(\lambda, \nu) = (-2, 0), (0, -2)$, and $(-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$.

2. Here we will characterize all values of λ and ν for which $L_X J_3^{\lambda,\nu}$ is generated by two parameters. An easy computation using Proposition (4.1) proves that these values are $(-\frac{1}{2}, -1), (-1, -\frac{1}{2}), (0, -1), (-1, 0), (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, and $(-1, -1)$. If $(\lambda, \nu) = (-\frac{1}{2}, -1)$, then $c_{1,2} = 0$, and $c_{3,0} = \frac{2}{3} c_{2,1}$; however, $c_{0,3}$ and $c_{2,1}$ are arbitrary. Therefore, the constants $c_{0,3}$ and $c_{2,1}$ can be chosen such that

$$L_X J_3^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi, \psi) = \mathfrak{M}(X, \phi, \psi).$$

   It follows that the cohomology group is trivial. The result holds true for $(0, -1), (-1, 0)$, $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), (-1, -\frac{1}{2})$, and $(-1, -1)$.

3. If λ and ν are not like above, then the transvectant J_3 is unique by Proposition (4.1). Whatever the weights λ and ν can take, the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_3^{\lambda,\nu}$ is never identically zero. Therefore, one of the constants γ, α_1 or α_2 can be eliminated by just adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_3^{\lambda,\nu}$. Hence, the cohomology group is one-dimensional.

6.3 The case when $k = 4$

If $\mu - \lambda - \nu = 4$, equation (6.1) shows that the 1-cocycles that span the cohomology group of Theorem (5.1) are of the form

$$\mathfrak{N}(X, \phi, \psi) := \gamma\, X^{(5)}\, \phi\, \psi + X^{(4)}\, \left(\alpha_1\, \phi'\, \psi + \alpha_2\, \phi\, \psi'\right) + X'''\, \left(\beta_1\, \phi''\, \psi + \beta_2\, \phi\, \psi'' + \beta_3\, \phi'\, \psi'\right), \tag{6.4}$$

where $\gamma, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ and β_3 are constants. The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{array}{ll} 5\gamma = -\lambda\,\alpha_1 - \nu\,\alpha_2, & 2\alpha_1 = -(1+2\lambda)\,\beta_1 - \nu\,\beta_3, \\ 2\alpha_2 = -(1+2\nu)\,\beta_2 - \lambda\,\beta_3. & \end{array}$$

The space of solutions of the system above is three-dimensional. Let us study the triviality of the 1-cocycle (6.4). A direct computation proves that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X J_4^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi,\psi) \;=\; & (-\lambda\, c_{4,0} - \nu\, c_{0,4}) X^{(5)}\,\phi\,\psi - ((1+4\lambda)\,c_{4,0} + \nu\, c_{1,3}) X^{(4)} \phi'\,\psi \\ & -((1+4\nu)\,c_{0,4} + \lambda\, c_{3,1}) X^{(4)}\,\phi\,\psi' - ((4+6\lambda)\,c_{4,0} + \nu\, c_{2,2})\,X'''\,\phi''\,\psi \\ & -(((1+3\lambda)\,c_{3,1} + (1+3\nu)\,c_{1,3})\,\phi'\,\psi' - ((4+6\nu)\,c_{0,4} + \lambda\, c_{2,2})\,\phi\,\psi'')\,X''' \end{aligned}$$

1. For all values of λ and ν one can easily prove that the equation $L_X J_4^{\lambda,\nu} = 0$ has no solutions.

2. Now we will characterize all values of λ and ν for which $L_X J_4^{\lambda,\nu}$ is generated by two parameters. An easy computation using Proposition (4.1) proves that these values are $(0,-\frac{3}{2}), (-\frac{3}{2},0), (-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2}), (-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2}), (-\frac{1}{2},-1), (-1,-\frac{1}{2}), (-1,-1), (-1,-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-1)$, and $(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2})$. If $(\lambda,\nu) = (0,-\frac{3}{2})$, then the constants $c_{1,3}$ and $c_{0,4}$ are arbitrary. On the other hand,

$$c_{2,2} = \frac{3}{2}\,c_{1,3}, \quad c_{3,1} = c_{3,1}, \quad c_{4,0} = \frac{1}{4}\,c_{1,3}.$$

   The constant $c_{1,3}$ and $c_{0,4}$ can be chosen in such a way that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_4^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.4), the constants β_1 and β_2 disappear completely. Hence, the cohomology group is one-dimensional. The result holds true for the other values of (λ,ν).

3. If λ and ν are not like above, then the transvectant J_4 is unique by Proposition (4.1). Whatever the weights λ and ν can take, the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_4^{\lambda,\nu}$ is never identically zero. Therefore, one of the constants γ, α_1, α_2, β_1, β_2 or β_3 can be eliminated by just adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_4^{\lambda,\nu}$. Hence, the cohomology group is two-dimensional.

6.4 The case when $k = 5$

If $\mu - \lambda - \nu = 5$, equation (6.1) shows that the 1-cocycles that span the cohomology group of Theorem (5.1) are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{O}(X,\phi,\psi) \;:=\; & \gamma\,X^{(6)}\,\phi\,\psi + X^{(5)}\,\left(\alpha_1\,\phi'\,\psi + \alpha_2\,\phi\,\psi'\right) + X^{(4)}\,\left(\beta_1\,\phi''\,\psi + \beta_2\,\phi\,\psi'' + \beta_3\,\phi'\,\psi'\right) \\ & X'''\,\left(\eta_1\,\phi^{(3)}\,\psi + \eta_2\,\phi^{(2)}\,\psi' + \eta_3\,\phi'\,\psi^{(2)} + \eta_4\,\phi\,\psi^{(3)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{array}{ll} 5\gamma = -\lambda\,\beta_1 - \nu\,\beta_2 + \lambda\,\eta_1 + \nu\,\eta_4, & 9\gamma = -\lambda\,\alpha_1 - \nu\,\alpha_2, \\ 5\alpha_1 = -(1+2\lambda)\,\beta_1 - \nu\,\beta_3, & 5\alpha_2 = -(1+2\nu)\,\beta_2 - \lambda\,\beta_3, \\ 2\beta_1 = -(3+3\lambda)\,\eta_1 - \nu\,\eta_2, & 2\beta_2 = -\lambda\,\eta_3 - (3+3\nu)\,\eta_4 \\ 2\beta_3 = -(1+2\lambda)\,\eta_2 - (1+2\nu)\,\eta_3. & \end{array}$$

The space of solutions of the system above is four-dimensional for $(\lambda,\nu)=(0,0)$, $(0,-2)$, $(-2,0)$, $(0,-4)$,$(-4,0)$, and $(-2,-2)$, and three-dimensional otherwise. According to these values, let us study the triviality of the 1-cocycle (6.5). A direct computation proves that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi,\psi) \;=\;& (-\lambda\, c_{5,0}-\nu\, c_{0,5})X^{(6)}\,\phi\,\psi-((1+5\lambda)\,c_{5,0}+\nu\, c_{1,4})X^{(5)}\phi'\,\psi\\ & -((1+5\nu)\,c_{0,5}+\lambda\, c_{4,1})X^{(5)}\,\phi\,\psi'-X^{(4)}(((5+10\lambda)\,c_{5,0}-\nu\, c_{2,3})\,\phi''\,\psi\\ & -((1+3\lambda)\,c_{4,1}+(1+3\nu)\,c_{1,4})\,\phi'\,\psi'-((5+10\nu)\,c_{0,5}+\lambda\, c_{2,3})\,\phi\,\psi'')\\ & -X'''((\nu\, c_{3,2}+(10+12\lambda)\,c_{5,0})\,\phi^{(3)}\,\psi-(\nu\, c_{2,3}+(10+12\nu)\,c_{0,5})\,\phi\,\psi^{(3)}\\ & -((1+3\lambda)\,c_{3,2}+(4+6\nu)\,c_{1,4})\,\phi'\,\psi''-((1+3\nu)\,c_{2,3}+(4+6\lambda)\,c_{4,1})\,\phi''\,\psi') \end{aligned}$$

1. If $(\lambda,\nu)=(0,0)$, then the constant $c_{1,4}$ is arbitrary. On the other hand,

   $$c_{0,5}=c_{5,0},\quad c_{4,1}=-c_{1,4},\quad c_{2,3}=6\,c_{4,1},\quad c_{3,2}=-6\,c_{4,1}.$$

   The constant $c_{1,4}$ can be chosen in such a way that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.5), the constant η_2 disappears completely. Therefore, our 1-cocycle is generated by β_1,β_2 and η_3. Hence, the cohomology group is three-dimensional. The result holds true for $(\lambda,\nu)=(0,-4),(-4,0)$.

2. If $(\lambda,\nu)=(0,-2)$, then the constant $c_{4,1}$ and $c_{0,5}$ are arbitrary. On the other hand,

   $$c_{1,4}=c_{4,1},\quad c_{2,3}=2\,c_{4,1},\quad c_{3,2}=2\,c_{4,1},\quad c_{5,0}=\frac{1}{5}\,c_{4,1}.$$

   The constant $c_{1,4}$ and $c_{0,5}$ can be chosen in such a way that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.5), the constant η_1 and η_4 disappear completely. Therefore, our 1-cocycle is generated by β_3 and η_2. Hence, the cohomology group is two-dimensional. The result holds true for $(\lambda,\nu)=(-2,0)$ and $(-2,-2)$.

3. If $(\lambda,\nu)=(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$ then by Proposition (4.1), the transvectant J_5 is not unique. A direct computation proves that the constants $c_{5,0}$ and $c_{2,3}$ are arbitrary. On the other hand,

   $$c_{0,5}=\frac{c_{2,3}}{10},\quad c_{1,4}=\frac{c_{2,3}}{2},\quad c_{3,2}=c_{2,3},\quad c_{4,1}=5\,c_{5,0}.$$

   The constant $c_{2,3}$ and $c_{5,0}$ can be chosen in such a way such that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.5), the constant η_1 and η_4 disappear completely. Therefore, our 1-cocycle is generated by η_2. Hence, the cohomology group is one-dimensional. The result holds true for $(\lambda,\nu)=(-\frac{1}{2},-2),(-1,-1),(-1,-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-1,-2)$, $(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-1)$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-2)$, $(-2,-\frac{1}{2})$, $(-2,-1)$, $(-2,-\frac{3}{2})$.

4. If λ and ν are not like above, then the transvectant J_5 is unique by Proposition (4.1). Whatever the weights λ and ν can take, the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}$ is never identically zero. Therefore, one of the constants γ, α_1, α_2, β_1, β_2, β_3, η_1,η_2,η_3 or η_4 can be eliminated by just adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}$. Hence, the cohomology group is two-dimensional.

6.5 The case when $k = 6$

If $\mu - \lambda - \nu = 6$, equation (6.1) shows that the 1-cocycles that span the cohomology group of Theorem (5.1) are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{P}(X, \phi, \psi) \quad := \quad & \gamma\, X^{(7)}\, \phi\, \psi + X^{(6)}\, \left(\alpha_1\, \phi'\, \psi + \alpha_2\, \phi\, \psi'\right) + X^{(5)}\, \left(\beta_1\, \phi''\, \psi + \beta_2\, \phi'\, \psi' + \beta_3\, \phi\, \psi''\right) \\ & + X^{(4)}\, \left(\eta_1\, \phi^{(3)}\, \psi + \eta_2\, \phi''\, \psi' + \eta_3\, \phi'\, \psi'' + \eta_4\, \phi\, \psi^{(3)}\right) \\ & + X'''\, \left(\xi_1\, \phi^{(4)}\, \psi + \xi_2\, \phi^{(3)}\, \psi' + \xi_3\, \phi''\, \psi'' + \xi_4\, \phi'\, \psi^{(3)} + \xi_5\, \phi\, \psi^{(4)}\right) \qquad (6.6)\end{aligned}$$

The 1-cocycle condition is equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{array}{ll} 14\gamma = -\lambda\, \beta_1 - \nu\, \beta_3 + \lambda\, \xi_1 + \nu\, \xi_5, & 14\gamma = -\lambda\, \alpha_1 - \nu\, \alpha_2, \\ 5\alpha_1 = -(1+3\lambda)\, \eta_1 + (1+4\lambda)\, \xi_1 + \nu(\xi_4 - \eta_3), & 9\alpha_1 = -(1+2\lambda)\, \beta_1 - \nu\beta_2, \\ 5\alpha_2 = -(1+3\nu)\, \eta_4 + (1+4\nu)\, \xi_5 + \lambda(\xi_2 - \eta_2), & 9\alpha_2 = -(1+2\nu)\, \beta_3 - \lambda\, \beta_2, \\ 5\beta_1 = -(3+3\lambda)\, \eta_1 - \nu\, \eta_2, & 5\beta_3 = -\lambda\, \eta_3 - (3+3\nu)\, \eta_4 \\ 5\beta_2 = -(1+2\lambda)\, \eta_2 - (1+2\nu)\, \eta_3. & 2\eta_1 = -(6+4\lambda)\, \xi_1 - \nu\, \xi_2, \\ 2\eta_2 = -(3+3\lambda)\, \xi_2 - (1+2\nu)\xi_3, & 2\eta_3 = -(1+2\lambda)\, \xi_3 - (3+3\nu)\xi_4, \\ 2\eta_4 = -(6+4\nu)\, \xi_5 - \lambda\, \xi_4, & \end{array}$$

The space of solutions of the system above is four-dimensional for $(\lambda, \nu) = (-\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{5}{2})$, $(-\frac{5}{2}, 0)$, $(0, -\frac{5}{2})$, $(0, \frac{-5\pm\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $(\frac{-5\pm\sqrt{19}}{2}, 0)$, $(\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $(\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2})$, and three-dimensional otherwise. According to these values, let us study the triviality of the 1-cocycle (6.6). A direct computation proves that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X J_5^{\lambda,\nu}(\phi, \psi) = {} & (-\lambda\, c_{6,0} - \nu\, c_{0,6}) X^{(7)}\, \phi\, \psi - ((1+6\lambda)\, c_{6,0} + \nu\, c_{1,5}) X^{(6)} \phi'\, \psi \\ & -((1+6\nu)\, c_{0,6} + \lambda\, c_{5,1}) X^{(6)}\, \phi\, \psi' - ((6+15\lambda)\, c_{6,0} + \nu\, c_{2,4})\, X^{(5)}\, \phi''\, \psi \\ & -(((1+5\lambda)\, c_{5,1} + (1+5\nu)\, c_{1,5})\, \phi'\, \psi' - ((6+15\nu)\, c_{0,6} + \lambda\, c_{4,2})\, \phi\, \psi'')\, X^{(5)} \\ & -((\nu\, c_{3,3} + (15+20\lambda)\, c_{6,0})\, \phi^{(3)}\, \psi - ((1+4\nu)\, c_{2,4} + (6+9\nu)\, c_{5,1})\, \phi''\, \psi' \\ & -((1+4\,\lambda) c_{4,2} + (6+9\nu)\, c_{1,5})\, \phi'\, \psi'' - (\lambda\, c_{3,3} + (15+20\nu)\, c_{0,6})\, \phi\, \psi^{(3)}))\, X^{(4)} \\ & -(((20+15\lambda)\, c_{6,0} + \nu\, c_{4,2})\, \phi^{(4)}\, \psi - ((10+10\lambda)\, c_{5,1} + (1+3\nu)\, c_{3,3})\, \phi^{(3)}\, \psi' \\ & -((4+6\lambda)\, c_{4,2} + (4+6\nu)\, c_{2,4})\, \phi''\, \psi'' - ((1+3\lambda)\, c_{3,3} + (10+10\nu)\, c_{1,5})\, \phi'\, \psi^{(3)} \\ & -(\lambda\, c_{2,4} + (20+15\nu)\, c_{0,6})\, \phi\, \psi^{(4)})\, X''' \end{aligned}$$

1. If $(\lambda, \nu) = (0, \frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2})$, then the constant $c_{5,1}$ is arbitrary. On the other hand,

   $$c_{0,6} = 0, \quad c_{1,5} = -\frac{4}{45}(-35 + 8\sqrt{19})\, c_{5,1}, \quad c_{2,4} = 2(-13 + 3\sqrt{19})\, c_{5,1},$$

   $$c_{3,3} = -\frac{4}{3}(-31 + 7\sqrt{19})\, c_{5,1}, \quad c_{4,2} = \frac{10}{3}(-4 + \sqrt{19})\, c_{5,1}, \quad c_{6,0} = \frac{1}{30}(5 + \sqrt{19})\, c_{5,1}$$

   The constant $c_{5,1}$ can be chosen in such a way such that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_6^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.6), the constant ξ_4 disappears completely. Hence, the cohomology group is three-dimensional. The result holds true for $(\lambda, \nu) = (\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}, 0)$, $(\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, 0)$, $(0, \frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $(\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $(\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2}, \frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2})$.

2. If $(\lambda,\nu)=(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{5}{2})$, then the constant $c_{4,2}$ and $c_{1,5}$ are arbitrary. On the other hand,

   $$c_{0,6}=0,\quad c_{1,5}=-\frac{c_{4,2}}{10},\quad c_{2,4}=c_{4,2},\quad c_{3,3}=-2\,c_{4,2},\quad c_{5,1}=-\frac{c_{4,2}}{10},\quad c_{6,0}=0.$$

   The constant $c_{4,2}$ and $c_{1,5}$ can be chosen in such a way that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_6^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.6), two of the constants disappear completely. Hence, the cohomology group is two-dimensional. The result holds true for $(\lambda,\nu)=(-\frac{5}{2},0)$, $(0,-\frac{5}{2})$.

3. If $(\lambda,\nu)=(-\frac{1}{2},-2)$, then by Proposition (4.1), the transvectant J_6 is not unique. A direct computation proves that

   $$c_{1,5}=6\,c_{0,6},\quad c_{2,4}=\frac{5}{2}\,c_{5,1},\quad c_{3,3}=\frac{10}{3}\,c_{5,1},\quad c_{4,2}=\frac{5}{2}c_{5,1},\quad c_{6,0}=\frac{1}{6}\,c_{5,1}.$$

   The constant $c_{0,6}$ and $c_{5,1}$ can be chosen in such a way that once adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_6^{\lambda,\nu}$ above to our 1-cocycle (6.6), the constant ξ_2 and ξ_5 disappear completely. Hence, the cohomology group is one-dimensional. The result holds true for $(\lambda,\nu)=(-2,-\frac{1}{2})$, $(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{5}{2})$, $(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$, $(-1,-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-1)$, $(-1,-2)$, $(-2,-1)$, $(-\frac{5}{2},-1)$, $(-1,-\frac{5}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-2,-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-2)$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{5}{2})$, $(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-2,-2)$, $(-2,-\frac{5}{2})$, and $(-\frac{5}{2},-2)$.

4. If λ and ν are not like above, then the transvectant J_6 is unique by Proposition (4.1). Whatever the weights λ and ν can take, the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_6^{\lambda,\nu}$ is never identically zero. Therefore, one of the constants $\gamma,\alpha_1,\dots$ can be eliminated by just adding the trivial 1-cocycle $L_X J_6^{\lambda,\nu}$. Hence, the cohomology group is two-dimensional.

6.6 The case when $k=7$

The proof here is the same as in the previous section. We just point out that the space of solutions of the 1-cocycle property (6.1) is four-dimensional for $(\lambda,\nu)=(0,-3),(-3,0)$, and $(-3,-3)$; three-dimensional for $(\lambda,\nu)=(-2,-2)$, $(\lambda,0)$, $(0,\nu)$, $(\lambda,-6-\lambda)$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-3)$, $(-3,-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2})$, $(-\frac{5}{2},-1),(-1,-\frac{5}{2})$, $(-\frac{5}{2},-\frac{5}{2})$, $(\sqrt{19}-1,\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2})$,$(\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2},\sqrt{19}-1)$, $(-\sqrt{19}-1,\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2})$,$(\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2},-\sqrt{19}-1)$, $(\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2},\frac{-5-\sqrt{19}}{2})$, $(\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2},\frac{-5+\sqrt{19}}{2})$, and two-dimensional otherwise.

6.7 The case when $k\geq 8$

For $k\geq 8$, the number of variables generating any 1-cocycle is much smaller than the number of equations coming out from the 1-cocycle condition - for instance, for $k=8$ the $\sharp$(Variables) $=28$, while $\sharp$(Equations) $=33$. For generic λ and ν, the number of equations will generates a one-dimensional space, which give a unique cohomology class. This cohomology class is indeed trivial because the expression $L_X J_k^{\lambda,\nu}$ is also a 1-cocycle.

Remark 6.2 For $k\geq 8$ and for particular values of λ and ν, the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})$ may not be trivial. For instance, for $k=8$ we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{RP}^1),\mathrm{sl}(2,\mathbb{R});\mathcal{D}_{0,-\frac{7}{2};\frac{9}{2}})\simeq\mathbb{R}.$$

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to V. Ovsienko for his constant supports.

References

[1] S. Bouarroudj, Projective and conformal Schwarzian derivatives and cohomology of Lie algebras vector fields related to differential operators, Preprint.

[2] S. Bouarroudj & H. Gargoubi, Projectively invariant cocycles for holomorphic vector fields on an open Riemann surface. *Tokyo J. of Maths.* 2002, vol. 25, no. 1, 33–40.

[3] S. Bouarroudj & V. Ovsienko, Three cocycles on $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ generalizing the Schwarzian derivative, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1998**, No.1, 25–39.

[4] E. Cartan, *Leçons sur la théorie des espaces à connexion projective,* Gauthier -Villars, Paris - 1937.

[5] Cohen P, Manin Yu and Zagier D, Automorphic pseudodifferential operators, *Algebraic aspects of integrable systems,* 17–47, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 26, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997.

[6] C. Duval & V. Ovsienko, Conformally equivariant quantum Hamiltonians. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **7** (2001), no. 3, 291–320.

[7] B. L. Feigin & D. B. Fuchs, Homology of Lie algebras on vector fields on the line, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.,* 16, No. 2, 47-63 (1982).

[8] D. B. Fuks, *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Contemp. Soviet. Math., Consultants Bureau, New-York, 1986.

[9] H. Gargoubi, Sur la géométrie de l'espace des opérateurs différentiels linéaires sur $\mathbb{R}$. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège.* Vol. 69, 1, 2000, 21–47.

[10] P. Gordan, *Invariantentheorie,* teubner, Leipzig, 1887.

[11] P. B. A. Lecomte & V. Ovsienko, Cohomology of the vector fields Lie algebra and modules of differential operators on a smooth manifold, *Compositio Mathematica.* **124:** No.1, 2000, 95–110.

[12] P. B. A. Lecomte & V. Ovsienko, Projectively invariant symbol calculus, *Lett. Math. Phy.* **49** (3) (1999), 173–196.

[13] P. B. A. Lecomte, On the cohomology of $\mathrm{sl}(n+1,\mathbb{R})$ acting on differential operators and $\mathrm{sl}(n+1,\mathbb{R})$-equivariant symbols, *Indag. Math. NS.* 11 (1), 2000, 95-114.

[14] P. Olver, *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations.* Springer Verlag.

[15] E. J. Wilczynski, *Projective differential geometry of curves and ruled surfaces,* Leipzig - Teubner - 1906.